Dear Ernie:

Thank you for your letter of the 2d.

I was sure you had done what you could in regard to the accelerator and other Science appropriations; the one additional point I wanted to have available to you was the effect it might have in California to set up this bizarre identification of oversonservatism in science appropriations with the Democratic party: Repr. Gubser is, I am sure, going to make some capital out of the Stanford issue in this district, and I don't have to stress how important California will be in the presidental election. (Nor should the state be abandoned as a pro-Maxon certaibty: far from it).

I will be happy to help the work of your committee if I can do most of it by long distance; or can catch your meetings by luck. At any rate, I know I could back up almost every release it has made to date. I particularly agreed with the (prematurely released?) critique of Mercury; I wax am not suge it is either wise or fesiable to make this an issue of party policy, since many Democrats are already identified with overemphasis on astrobatics.

day I ask, however, that you wait a little while before making any public announcement of my affiliation, with the Committee? In confidence, I can mention wa that we are negotiating with the Kennedy Foundation for a substantial grant to the medical school and to work in genetics in particular, and they might be annoyed at being vulnerable to suggestions of political implications. I can let you know when this has crystallised. Meanwhile, I would be happy to see any papers you may have set up. I may have a chance to see you at New Haven on June 13 if you are there at that time.

Joshua Lederberg

Yours sincerely.

Tr

1.5. - recommend for how